



Paper No. 6

MARK K. JOHNSON  
MIRUS CORPORATION  
505 S. ROSE ROAD  
MADISON, WI 53719

**COPY MAILED**

**JAN 07 2004**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
Monaham et al.  
Application No. 09/877,436  
Filed: June 7, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. Mirus.013.01.01

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed December 12, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No further petition fee is required for the request. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application (Notice) mailed June 26, 2001. The Notice set a period for reply of two (2) months from the mail date of the Notice. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on August 27, 2001. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on November 7, 2003.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply,<sup>1</sup>
- (2) the petition fee,
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, and
- (4) a terminal disclaimer and fee if the application was filed on or before June 8, 1995 or if the application is a design application.

Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>2</sup> See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D).

The instant petition lacks item (1). Petitioner failed to submit payment of the \$65.00 late oath or declaration fee. Petitioner must supply the fee in order to revive the above-identified application.

Petitioner contends that a copy of a declaration from the parent application was previously submitted, as shown on the Utility Patent Application Transmittal. A review of the application shows that a declaration was indeed submitted with the original papers on June 7, 2001. However, the declaration was not signed. Therefore, the petition fee will not be refunded.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

**By mail:** Mail Stop PETITION  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Effective December 1, 2003, the Office of Petitions can no longer receive hand-carried correspondence, or facsimile transmissions of correspondence. The centralized location for hand-carried correspondence is the existing Customer Window located at:**

2011 South Clark Place  
Crystal Plaza 1 Lobby  
Room 1B03  
Arlington, VA 22202

The centralized facsimile number is (703) 872-9306.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Paralegal Liana Chase at (703) 306-0482.



Karen Creasy  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy